

The Role of Statistics in Syndromic Surveillance

Richard Aubrey White, PhD

The Norwegian Syndromic Surveillance System (NorSySS)





	Simple aggregation	More complex statistics
Descriptive statistics	Basic	х
Detect signals (outbreaks)	Basic	х
Detect trends		х
Estimate attributable mortality		х
Predict risk of outbreaks		Х

NorSySS

NorSySS in brief



Which metric to use?

	2	
	NorS	yss

Metric: Percentage of consultations for COVID-19					
Weeks after	Davieturaek	Days	90% Margin of error		
event	Day of week	delay	Nation	County	
1	Monday	1	±11.9%	±25.7%	
	Tuesday	2	±11.2%	±21.8%	
	Wednesday	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>±9.2%</mark>	±18.6%	
	Thursday	4	±8.2%	±16.1%	
	Friday	5	±6.8%	±14.6%	
	Saturday	6	±6.0%	±12.5%	
	Sunday	7	±6.3%	±12.1%	
2	Monday	8	±6.7%	±12.0%	
	Tuesday	9	±5.9%	±10.7%	
	Wednesday	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>±5.1%</mark>	<mark>±9.4%</mark>	
	Thursday	11	±4.5%	±9.0%	
	Friday	12	±4.3%	±8.3%	
	Saturday	13	±3.8%	±7.0%	
	Sunday	14	±3.8%	±6.9%	

Metric: Number of consultations

Registration delay in NorSySS by isoyear

Days until x% of the consultations are registered

	25%	50%	75%	90%	95%	99%
2006	14	23	33	49	69	144
2007	14	23	33	49	70	145
2008	14	22	32	48	67	142
2009	13	22	31	47	66	133
2010	6	11	18	29	40	83
2011	5	9	14	22	32	68
2012	5	9	15	24	35	76

NorSySS in 2023 (development version)



NorSvSS



Descriptive statistics (Influenza)



Signal detection





Farrington (1996) method? Noufaily (2012) method? What will come tomorrow?



Detection of trends





Signals and trends combined









Validation against hard endpoints



Attributable mortality



- Outcome:
 - All cause mortality
- Exposures:
 - Influenza like illness (NorSySS)
 - Temperature (MET)



Attributable mortality validating recorded mortality

Estimated attributable mortality due to COVID-19 in Norway



- Outcome:
 - All cause mortality
- Exposures:
 - Percentage of consultations that were COVID-19 (NorSySS)
- Can run in real-time to predict deaths in 1-2 weeks.

Predict risk of outbreaks

Research

A One Health real-time surveillance system for nowcasting *Campylobacter* gastrointestinal illness outbreaks, Norway, week 30 2010 to week 11 2022

David Swanson^{1,2}, Clemence Koren¹, Petter Hopp³, Malin E Jonsson³, Gunnar Isaksson Rø¹, Richard A White¹, Gry Marysol Grøneng¹

1. Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

- 2. Department of Biostatistics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 3. Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Ås, Norway

Correspondence: Gry Grøneng (grymarysol.groneng@fhi.no)

Citation style for this article:

Swanson David, Koren Clemence, Hopp Petter, Jonsson Malin E, Ra Gunnar Isaksson, White Richard A, Grøneng Gry Marysol. A One Health real-time surveillance system for nowcasting Campylobacter gastrointestinal illness outbreaks, Norway, week 30 2010 to week 11 2022. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(43):pii=2101121. https:// doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27,43.2101121

Article submitted on 29 Nov 2021 / accepted on 15 Jul 2022 / published on 27 Oct 2022

Background: Campylobacter is a leading cause of food and waterborne illness. Monitoring and modelling Campylobacter at chicken broiler farms, combined with weather pattern surveillance, can aid nowcasting of human gastrointestinal (GI) illness outbreaks. Near real-time sharing of data and model results with health authorities can help increase potential outbreak responsiveness. Aims: To leverage data on weather and Campylobacter on broiler farms to build a risk model for possible human Campylobacter outbreaks and to communicate risk assessments with health authorities. Methods: We developed a spatio-temporal random effects model for weekly GI illness consultations in Norwegian municipalities with Campylobacter monitoring and weather data from week 30 2010 to 11 2022 to give 1-week nowcasts of GI illness outbreaks. The approach combined a municipality random effects

Introduction

In syndromic and data-driven infectious disease surveillance, health indicators are used to facilitate early detection of outbreaks [1]. Syndromic surveillance is based on non-laboratory confirmed information, and so using several data sources and models for outbreak detection is often desired since both the sensitivity and specificity of one data source can be suboptimal [2,3]. A One Health perspective is increasingly acknowledged as important for surveillance and preparedness, given that approximately 75% of emerging pathogens affecting humans are regarded as zoonotic [4]. Thus, combining available data from animal and human health with environmental sectors in a risk model is an important aspect of improved surveillance.

One well-established source of food- and waterborne gastrointestinal (GI) illness in humans

FIGURE 2

Interactive map, as presented on the Sykdomspulsen One Health website for selected municipalities, Norway, week $45\ 2021\ (n = 38\ municipalities)$



The map shows the probability of an outbreak event (Norwegian: Sannsynlighet for *Campylobacter*-hendelse) happening in the current week. When hovering over a municipality, the name of the municipality and the probability of an event are displayed. For sensitivity reasons, only the municipalities with three or more farms are displayed, which yields a total number of 38.





Statisticians-first viewpoint

- Statisticians must be able to easily test and implement new methods.
 - Otherwise, you'll be stuck in the 1990s.
 - Statisticians must be able to use tools/languages/packages they are used to. I.e., R or Python.
- Don't let the statisticians do whatever they want!
 - Must follow a framework (e.g., Surveillance Core 9).
 - Don't implement custom analyses. Try to be as "large scale" as possible (e.g., only analyses from csalert package).
- Automated weekly data deliveries to epidemiologists in Excel format.
- Allow for emergency ad-hoc analyses.



Articles

Reference News

Overview

csalert

Consortium for

Statistics in Disease Surveillance

<u>csalert</u> helps create alerts from public health surveillance data.

csalert 2023.6.16

